



## PATENT APPLICATION

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Masaki HIRAKATA et al. Group Art Unit: 2823

Application No.: 10/541,213 Examiner: M. ESTRADA

Filed: June 30, 2005 Docket No.: 124421

For: CARBON NANOTUBE DEVICE, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME,

AND CARBON NANOTUBE TRANSFER BODY

## RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the October 17, 2007 Election of Species Requirement, Applicants provisionally elect Species I, Figures 1a-1e, corresponding to claims 18-36, with traverse.

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of all species is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one species would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining species. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. See MPEP §803 in which it is stated that "if the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions" (emphasis added). It is respectfully submitted that this policy should apply in the present application in order to avoid unnecessary delay and expense to Applicants and duplicative examination by the Patent Office.